19

21

22

23

24

25

ELIZABETH ANN TIPTON 11225 Dewey Court Kensington, Maryland 20795 (301) 946-4436

Q And what did you tell Mr. Ehrlichman?

A Well, I reported to Mr. Ehrlichman everything that
Liddy had told me and I recall recounting back to him, trying
to put all the pieces I had available at that point together,

by telling him about the meetings which had occurred in the Attorney General's Office in January and February of '72.

Q Those were the meetings at which Liddy presented his intelligence programs?

A That's correct.

Q Did Mr. Ehrlichman again mention that he was going to meet with Mr. Colson later that afternoon?

A He did. He mentioned that Mr. Colson was seeking a meeting and that he wanted me to be present in that meeting when it took place.

Q Did Mr. Ehrlichman also mention to you, on June 19th, at some time, that you ought to contact the Justice Department to find out what was going on in the investigation?

A Yes, he did.

Q And what did you do about that, if anything?

A I called Mr. Kleindienst and had a conversation with Mr. Kleindienst and I later saw Mr. Kleindienst.

Q Now, during these first few conversations with Mr. Ehrlichman, after the Watergate break-in, did he instruct you to conduct an investigation and to determine whether anyone in the White House was responsible or had knowledge of the

Watergate break-in? Give you a specific instruction to conduct a Watergate investigation?

A I wouldn't say it was an instruction to conduct an investigation. He just told me to keep my eyes and ears open and learn what I could.

Q Did Mr. Ehrlichman, or anyone else in the White House, ever give you a specific instruction to conduct an investigation into this matter, telling you that it was your responsibility to make a determination of the facts and determine whether anyone in the White House was involved or responsible?

A Well, I wouldn't say that it was really until late August, when it was reported that I had conducted such an investigation, that there ever became any semblence of such an investigation and, after that, when it had been put on the public record that I had conducted an investigation, I began to pretend like I had conducted an investigation.

But I am unaware of ever being instructed to do an investigation, because I would have proceeded much differently if I was investigating. I was merely sort of catch as catch can.

Q Was this -- did it naturally fall to you, as counsel to the President, as a person who had had formal liaison with the Justice Department, and the informal contacts there, to be the person at the White House most aware of what was going on

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

in the Watergate investigation?

Well, with things like this, what would generally happen is that after Mr. Haldeman and Mr. Ehrlichman would either lose interest or get consumed in something else, it would fall to me to be the man to follow up and continue the liaison and keep them abreast of what I was learning.

Are you speaking now about legal matters and Justice Department matters, generally?

No, I can't say that generally, no, because, for example, antitrust areas were something I very seldom got into.

I mean you are not speaking simply of Watergate? Q

No. I'm not. I'm thinking of other instances where things were rather active for a while and they die off. I'm thinking of the Lithuanian defecter problem, where everyone had their hands in it for a while and then, when it fell to the daily job of keeping abreast of what was happening, when it wasn't in the headlines, that was my job.

I'm thinking of the Calley case, where there was a great flurry of activity, and when it got down to, you know, following daily what indeed was happening to Mr. Calley, that was my office. That's the way things generally happened there.

Now, on June 19th, did you also have a meeting with Gordon Strachan?

Yes, I did.

25